

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/534,663	11/28/2005	Hermann Schuller	. 10191/3744	6551	
26646 7590 03/28/2007 KENYON & KENYON LLP		EXAMINER			
ONE BROAD	WAY		ARTHUR JEANGLA	ARTHUR JEANGLAUDE, GERTRUDE	
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3661		
		·	·		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	03/28/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/534,663	SCHULLER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gertrude Arthur-Jeanglaude	3661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions of the specified period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 N</u>	ovember 2005					
	action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
<u> </u>	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 May 2005</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	4					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/10/05.	<ul><li>5)</li></ul>	atent Application				
S Patent and Trademark Office						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because for example the boxes in Figure 9 are crowded and difficult to read since it is hand written. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 11, 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Huber et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,327,014).

As to claims 11, 20, Huber et al. disclose a method for triggering restraint devices, in which at least one collision indicating signal is generated, the method

comprising: from a moment that a collision is detected, specifying temporally defined crash phrases; for every crash phase, a crash type and an crash severity being determined from the signal; and triggering appropriate ones of the restraint devices as a function of at least one of the crash severity and the crash type (See abstract; col. 5, lines 55-66).

As to claims 13-15, 16, 17-19, Huber et al. disclose the crash type is determined for every crash phase and for different possible crash types, the at least one signal is analyzed, and results of the analyses are combined with one another to determine the crash type; and an algorithm to determine the crash severity (See 5, lines 55-66; col. 8, lines 12-20); Huber et al. disclose in sensors and signal used check the triggering (See abstract); Huber et al. disclose a measuring unit as shown in the figure wherein one would use such measuring unit for performing evaluation with specific thresholds and continuously.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huber et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,327,014) in view of Bischoff et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,742,916).

Art Unit: 3661

As to claims 12, Huber et al. disclose all but fail to specifically disclose the crash phases are defined as a function of the vehicle type vehicle type. In an analogous art, Bischoff et al. disclose a system for protecting vehicle occupants wherein it discloses the crash phases are defined as a function of the vehicle type (col. 17, lines 46-64). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Huber et al. with that of Bischoff et al. by having the crash phases defined as a function of the vehicle type in order to use an algorithm to trigger the system.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gertrude Arthur-Jeanglaude whose telephone number is (571) 272-6954. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gertrude A. Jeanslaude Gertrude A. Jeanglaude Primary Examiner

AU 3661

gaj